

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,076	LANDOLFI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yunsoo Kim	1644	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After Final

 (1) Yunsoo Kim.

 (3) Susan Harlocker.

 (2) Michael Biro.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2007
Time: 1:40pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

47-49


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representatives, Mr. Michael Biro and Ms. Susan Harlocker, authorized to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)